

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

				•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/635,330	08/09/2000	Luis Eduardo Gutierrez-Sheris	Unisphere-13/2	5198	
7590 10/05/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
RONALD SC	HWARTZMAN, ES	Q.	KARMIS, S	KARMIS, STEFANOS	
UNITELLER F	INANCIAL SERVICE	ES. INC.			
	NORTH, SUITE 402	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROCHELLE P	ARK, NJ 07662		3624		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\overline{}$	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/635,330	GUTIERREZ-SHE EDUARDO	ERIS, LUIS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stefano Karmis	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleved in the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a by within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06.	<u>luly 2004</u> .					
,—	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa			e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
o/ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n nriority under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document of the prior	nts have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
application from the International Burea	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		•			

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 06 July 2004.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-7 and 9-17 have been left as originally filed. Claim 8 is currently amended.

Claims 18-29 are previously added. Claims 30-32 are newly added. Therefore, claims 1-32 are under prosecution in this application.

Summary of this Office Action

3. Applicants' amendment filed on <u>06 July 2004</u> have been fully considered, and discussed in the next section below or within the following rejection. Claims 1-32 are rejected as being unpatentable over the art cited below, and Applicants' request for allowance is respectfully denied.

Response to Applicants' Amendment

4. The Examiner acknowledges Applicant's arguments in the remarks with respect to the 35 U.S.C. § 103 in the office action mailed, 12 February 2004, and withdraws the rejection as stated in the previous office action. Any arguments with respect to the claims are considered moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/635,330

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al. (hereinafter Ito) U.S. Patent 6,039,250 in view of Davis et al. (hereinafter Davis) U.S. Patent 6,298,336

Art Unit: 3624

Regarding independent claims 1, 8, 14, 18 and 24, Ito teaches a system and method for transferring funds comprising, collecting a sum of money, via a money-transfer company, from a customer for transfer to a beneficiary (column 3, lines 44-62), providing a beneficiary with a unique device pick-up code (column 4, lines 43-56), presenting said unique device pick-up code to one of said distributors (column 5, lines 28-57), operating a money dispensing machine to collect the sum of money via said beneficiary using the activated one of money pick-up devices and corresponding personal code (column 5, lines 21-27 and Figure 8). Ito fails to teach that a new card is issued to the beneficiary and thus fails to teach activating one of said money pick-up devices and generating a corresponding personal code, via said distributor and said moneytransfer company, giving the beneficiary an activated one of said money pick-up devices and a corresponding personal code; and operating one of said money dispensing machines to collect said sum of money via said beneficiary using said activated one of said money pick-up devices and said corresponding personal code. Davis teaches card activation at a point of distribution in which a customer purchases an activated smart card from a vending machine (column 14, lines 18-42). A corresponding personal code is generated via the distributor and money transfer company in response to payment of the card and the activated card along with code are given to the beneficiary (column 7, line 66 thru column 8, line 36). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention, that the teachings of Ito could be modified to include the beneficiary obtaining a new card for transferred funds because it provides an efficient manner to allow a beneficiary to obtain funds, specifically if the beneficiary does not already have an existing smart card and would thus need a new card to

Art Unit: 3624

obtain the transferred money. This allows for a user of the Ito system to obtain an activated smart card with a unique pick-up code and to operate it in a money-dispensing machine.

Claims 2, 9, 25, 27 and 29, Ito teaches transmitting a unique device pick-up code from the distributor to the money transfer company, and transmitting the personal code from the money-transfer company to the distributor (column 5, lines 28-57).

Claims 3 and 10, Ito teaches sending unique device identification during the transmitting of the unique device pick-up code (column 5, lines 28-57).

Claims 4 and 11, Davis teaches distributor identification is sent to the processing center (column 5, lines 28-57).

Claims 5, 12 and 15, Ito teaches that the step of transmitting the unique device pick-up code includes transmitting signals form the distributor to the processing center via a telephone network and the step of transmitting the distributor identification includes transmitting a number identification to the processing center (column 16, lines 11-32).

Claims 6, 13 and 16, Ito fails to teach activating the card requires that the number identification be matched prior to transmitting the PIN from the processing center back to the customer. Davis teaches activating the card requires that the number identification be matched

Art Unit: 3624

prior to transmitting the PIN from the processing center back to the customer (column 15, lines 4-42).

Claims 7 and 17, Ito fails to specify that the input/output devices are ATM machines. Davis teaches that the money dispensing machines are ATM machines and providing the recipient with a money pick-up device provides the recipient with an ATM card having a magnetic stripe and personal identification number (column 3, lines 25-45).

Claims 19, 20 and 26, Ito teaches identifying the beneficiary by the customer and requires a fund pick up number that is provided by the customer (column 5, lines 28-40).

Claim 21, Davis teaches providing the recipient with a PIN after receipt of the fund pickup number by the distributor and the dispensing money step is carried out only upon receipt by the money dispensing machine of the personal code (column 15, lines 17-42).

Claim 22, Davis teaches supplying the activated card to the recipient (column 14, lines 18-42).

Claim 23, Ito teaches that the fund pickup number may be communicated between a recipient and a network of agents or distributors (column 5, lines 21-57 and Figure 8).

Art Unit: 3624

Claim 28, Davis teaches supplying the activated money pick-up device to the beneficiary (column 14, lines 18-42).

Claims 30-32, Davis teaches the step of activating one of said money pick-up devices includes the step of the distributor selecting a money pick-up device from an inventory of money-pick up devices, and activating the money pick-up devices selected from the inventory (column 5, lines 34-48).

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stefano Karmis whose telephone number is (703) 305-8130. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully Submitted Stefano Karmis 27 September 2004 Vine J Milli

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600